



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/048,020 03/11/2002		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Hyuk Lee	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
		03/11/2002		0630-1417P	
2292	7590	07/10/2003			
		KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 74 FALLS CH		A 22040-0747	FREAY, CHARLES GRANT		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3746)4
				DATE MAILED: 07/10/2003	. 14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

), i	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	10/048,020	LEE ET AL.
Advisory Notion	Examiner	Art Unit
	Charles G Freay	3746
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 26 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: of condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ace event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The decision of the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorteness of the calculated from the expiration date of the shorteness of the calculated from the expiration date of the shorteness of the calculated from the expiration date of the shorteness of the calculated from the expiration date of the shorteness of the calculated from the expiration date of the shorteness of the calculated from the expiration date of the shorteness of the calculated from the calcul	Avisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of SILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insign and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered l	because:	
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require furth	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s): See Continuation Shee	<u>t</u> .
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: S		sidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims v 		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	: :	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8.☐ The proposed drawing correction filed oni	s a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	<u>12.</u>
10. Other:		Marries G Freay Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3746



Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the rejection relating to claim 19 (the spring being wound 2.3 time).

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant has not clearly set forth and established whether or not the valve shown in Figs. 1-5 qualifies as prior art under 35 USC 102(a) or (b). The applicant traverses the objection to the drawings and states that "(w)ithout conceding the propriety of the Examiner's objection, but merely to timely advance the prosecution of the present application, FIGs. 1-5 have been amended to include the legend "Background Art". Applicants cannot amend FIGs. 1-5 to include the legend "Prior Art" as required by the examiner, since Applicants have not admitted that the subject matter of these drawings qualifies under 35 USC 102." Because the Applicant has the best understanding of whether the material shown in FIGs. 1-5 qualifies or does not qualify as prior art under 35 USC 102 the examiner has required, under 37 CFR 1.105, the applicant to clarify this issue (note response to arguments in paper no. 10). The examiner does not object to the use of either of the labels "Conventional" o "Background Art" with respect to the FIGs. if the applicant clarifies that the material in those figures is not prior art under 35 USC 102 (a or (b). Additionally, once this is determined, the appropriateness of the rejections made under 35 USC 103 can be determined.